	1 2 3 4 5 6	Bobby Saadian (SBN 250377) bobby@wilshirelawfirm.com Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417) justin@wilshirelawfirm.com Nicol E. Hajjar (SBN 303102) nicol@wilshirelawfirm.com Thiago M. Coelho (SBN 324715) thiago@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010			
	7	Telephone: (213) 381-9988 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989			
	8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
	9	[Additional counsel listed on following page]			
	10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137	11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	12	IRMA FRAUSTO, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,		8-cv-01983-LB (<i>Frausto</i>) 8-cv-01202-LB (<i>Suarez</i>)	
	13	Plaintiff,		O ORDER GRANTING	
	14	v.		S' MOTION FOR 'S' FEES AND COSTS	
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BANK OF AMERICA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a business entity, form unknown; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants ARIANNA SUAREZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants	Time: Courtroom: Judge:	July 6, 2023 9:30 a.m. B – 15th Floor (Videoconference) Hon. Laurel Beeler	
	25				
	26				
	27				
	28				
		ODDED OD ANTENIO DI ADMINERA MOTO	NIEGR ARTON	Case No. 3:18-cv-01983-LB	
		ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIO	N FOR ATTOR	INEYS FEES AND COSTS	

	1	ILG LEGAL OFFICE, P.C.
	2	Stephen Noel Ilg (SBN 275599) Email: silg@ilglegal.com George L. Lin (SBN 287873) Email: glin@ilglegal.com 156 S. Spruce Ave., Unit 206A South San Francisco, CA 94080 Telephone: 415.580.2574 Facsimile: 415.735.3454
	3	George L. Lin (SBN 287873) Email: glin@ilglegal.com
	4	156 S. Spruce Ave., Unit 206A South San Francisco, CA 94080
	5	Telephone: 415.580.2574 Facsimile: 415.735.3454
	6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
WILSHIRE LAW FIRM, PLC 3055 Wilshire Blvd, 12 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010-1137	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	Casa No. 2:18 av 01082 I D
		Cogo No. 2.10 or M1002 LD

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This matter came on for hearing before this Court on Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs pursuant to the Court's September 7, 2023 Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

Having considered the documents filed by the parties in connection with the motion and the oral arguments of counsel, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. Notice to the Class, including information regarding the requested award of attorneys' fees and costs, was directed to the Settlement Class Members in a reasonable manner, and complied with Rule 23(h)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- Settlement Class Members have been given the opportunity to object in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)(2).
 - [No Class Member has objected to the requested fees and expenses.] 3.
- 4. The settlement agreement provides that class counsel may seek up to \$630,000.00 in attorneys' fees, plus their reasonably incurred litigation expenses in the amount of \$203,430.31. Defendant does not object to these amounts.
- 5. Class counsel have substantiated their fee request with declarations describing their billing practices, billing rates, hours worked, work tasks performed and corresponding lodestar for the time invested into this case. The declarations demonstrate a lodestar of approximately \$2,401,425 as of November 20, 2023. Counsel note that this does not include work performed after November 20, 2023 regarding communicating with Settlement Class Members and the settlement administrator, preparing the case for final approval, and overseeing implementation of the settlement after final approval.
- Based on a fee request of \$630,000.00, the declarations of class counsel 6. documenting their lodestar shows that a fee award of this amount would result in a multiplier of 0.26. Class Counsel also seek reimbursement of actual out-of-pocket costs of \$203,430.31, which are documented in the declaration of class counsel as well.
- 7. The declarations submitted in support of the motion demonstrate that the attorneys representing the Settlement Class Members have the experience and qualifications necessary to represent the Class.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 8. A reasonable hourly rate is the prevailing rate charged by attorneys of similar skill and experience in the relevant community. Chalmers v. City of Los Angeles, 796 F.2d 1205, 1210 (9th Cir. 1986). The Court finds that the hourly rates charged by class counsel are within the prevailing range of hourly rates charged by attorneys providing similar services in class action, wage-and-hour cases in California, as shown by the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez.
- 9. Generally, hours are reasonable if they were "reasonably expended in pursuit of the ultimate result achieved in the same manner that an attorney traditionally is compensated by a fee-paying client." Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 431 (1983). The Court finds that the total hours worked by Class Counsel are reasonable, given the nature of the case and the defenses presented, the work class counsel had to undertake, and the results achieved.
- 10. A common cross-check regarding the reasonableness of a fee award is its percentage of the total value of the benefits conferred on the class. Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert, 444 U.S. 472, 478-81 (1980). Plaintiffs' fee request of \$630,000.00 represents one-third of the Gross Settlement Fund, which is reasonable under both applicable law, and in light of the contingent risk, Counsel's documented lodestar, the complex nature of the case, time spent on the case, and strong result for the Class. See id. The fee request for one-third of the common fund also is reasonable when compared with Counsel's total lodestar. See Laffitte v. Robert Half Int'l Inc., 1 Cal.5th 480, 504 (2016) (reasoning that courts may "double-check" the reasonableness of a percentage fee through a lodestar calculation).
- 11. Counsel are entitled to recover the out-of-pocket costs and litigation expenses they reasonably incurred in investigating, prosecuting, and settling this case. Staton v. Boeing, 327 F.3d 939, 974 (9th Cir. 2003). The Court finds that class counsel's out-of-pocket costs and expenses of \$203,430.31 are documented, and reasonable and necessary to the prosecution of this action.

26

28

25

/// 27

///

///

12. The Court therefore awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of \$630.000.00, and costs of \$203,430.31 to be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund pursuant to the terms and timeframe set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE:

Honorable Laurel Beeler United States Magistrate Judge